Hi Kevin,

For the building blocks analyses referenced in Chapter 3 that are forthcoming, can EPA allow OMB to see those before they are put in the docket? We want to make sure that these additional documents are clear enough for readers to understand.

Here are a few line edits on the RIA. The Chapter 3 comments are on the draft you sent us at 1:23 pm today. The others are on the consolidated document.

>>> P.9: There is a parenthetical missing from the note, commencing “Note that 2005 …”

>>> p. 3-25: Footnote 31 – keep the first sentence and delete the second.

For this analysis, we quantified and imposed end-use EE costs and impacts on electricity demand exogenously. While these parameters can technically be endogenously represented in IPM or other power sector modeling, such modeling is not typically designed to represent the extent to which the adoption of EE improvements are influenced by non-economic forces such as market failures

>>> p. 3-52: The note is a bit negatively worded. Suggest:

* This graph shows the population exposure in the modeling baseline used to generate the benefit-per-ton estimates. Similar graphs for analyses with air quality modeling show premature mortality impacts at each PM2.5 concentration. Therefore, caution is warranted when interpreting this graph because it is not derived in a manner consistent with similar graphs from RIAs that had been based on air quality modeling (e.g., MATS).